• •AO 120 (Rev. 3/04)

TO:

Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

DOCKET NO 1:07cv1044 DATE FILED 10/17/07 U.S. DISTRICT COURT Eastern District of Virginia DEFENDANT OPHTHONIX, INC. and DR. MICHAEL J. BERMEL PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK U.S. Patent 6,918,670 U.S. Patent 7,234,809 June 26, 2007 e-Vision In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ tradcmark(s) have been included:	In C filed in th	ompliance te U.S. Dis	with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/ trict Court _Eastern Distri	or 15 U.S.C. §	1116 you are hereby on the follo		
PIXELOPTICS, INC., and E-VISION, LLC PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK 1 U.S. Patent 6,916,670 July 19, 2005 e-Vision U.S. Patent 7,234,809 June 26, 2007 e-Vision In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK 1 U.S. Patent 7,234,809 In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR TRADEMARK 1 U.S. Patent 7,234,809 Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR TRADEMARK 1 U.S. Patent 7,234,809 In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT		X		177.0 107	STRICT COURT	Eastern District	of Virginia
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK 1 U.S. Patent 6,918,670 July 19, 2005 e-Vision 2 U.S. Patent 7,234,809 June 26, 2007 e-Vision 3 In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED NCLUDED HY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK 1 OR TRADEMARK 1 OR TRADEMARK 1 OR TRADEMARK 1 OR TRADEMARK 2 OR TRADEMARK 1 OR TRADEMARK 1 DECISION/JUDGEMENT DECISION/JUDGEMENT	PLAINTIFF				DEFENDANT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK POLICIENT OR TRAIN OR TRADEMARK 1 U.S. Patent 6,918,670 July 19, 2005 e-Vision 2 U.S. Patent 7,234,809 June 26, 2007 e-Vision 3 In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR TRADEMARK HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK 1 2 2 3 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	PIXELOPTICS	, INC., an	d E-VISION, LLC			INC. and DR. MICI	HAEL J.
2 U.S. Patent 7,234,809 June 26, 2007 e-Vision In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ tradcmark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK 1 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 2 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 2 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 3 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 4 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 5 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 1 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 1 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 1 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 1 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 2 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 3 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 4 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 5 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 5 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 5 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 5 PATENT OR TRADEMARK 6 PATENT		31			HOLDE	R OF PATENT OR T	TRADEMARK
3 4 5 In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK 1 2 3 4 5 In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT		··	July 19, 2005	e-Vis	ion		
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK 1 2 3 4 5 In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	2 U.S. Patent 7,2	34,809	June 26, 2007	e-Vis	ion		
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK 1 2 3 4 5 In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	3						
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED	4			_			
DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR TRADEMARK DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK 1 2 3 4 5 In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	5						
TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK 1 2 3 4 5 In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	DATE INCLUDED		INCLUDED BY	Amendment			Other Pleading
1					HOLDE	R OF PATENT OR	TRADEMARK
3 4 5 In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT							
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	2					·	
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	3						
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	4						
DECISION/JUDGEMENT	5						
		n the abov	e-entitled case, the follow	wing decision h	as been rendered or	judgement issued:	
CLERK (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE	DECISION/JUDGE	MENT					

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy



97 AO 121 (6/90)	SOLIC	CITOR		
то:	OCT 2	g 2007		
COMMISSIONER O TRADEM (USP P.O. Bo Alexandria, V.	PADEMARK DESCEOF D	REPORT ON THE DEMARK OFFICE OF DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION OR APPEALREGARDING A COPYRIGHT		
n compliance with the Act of July iled on the following patent(s) in t		C. 290) you are hereby	advised that a cou	urt action has been
DOCKET 07cv5970	DATE FILED 10/22/07	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT (ILLINOIS, EAS	COURT, NORTHERN STERN DIVISION
PLAINTIFF: Sanford, L.P.		DEFENDANT:	Ningbo Beifa Gro	oup Co., Ltd
PATENT NO.	DATE OF P	ATENT	PATENTEE	
See attached complaint.				
5,048,990				
				
In the above-entitled case	e, the following patent(s) hav	ve been included:		
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY			
	[] Amendment		[] Cross Bill	[] Other Pleading
PATENT NO.	DATE OF PA	ATENT	PA	ATENT
In the above-entitled ca	ase, the following decision ha	as been rendered or j	udgment issued:	
DECISION/JUDGMENT				
CLERK MICHAEL W. DOBBINS	(BY) DEPUTY (CLERK	DATE: 10/23/07	

OCT 2 2 2007 10 -22-07 MICHAEL W. DOBBINS

Clerk, U.S. District count

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

em

SANFORD, L.P.,)
Plaintiff,) 07CV5970
- vs	JUDGE ANDERSEN MAG. JUDGE VALDEZ
NINGBO BEIFA GROUP CO., LTD., Defendant.) JURY DEMAND

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

For its complaint against defendant NINGBO BEIFA GROUP CO., LTD. ("defendant"), plaintiff SANFORD, L.P. ("Sanford") herby states as follows:

Nature of the Action

1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the United States patent laws, 35 U.S.C. § 1, et seq.

Parties

- 2. Sanford is an Illinois limited partnership with its principle place of business at 2707 Butterfield Road, Oak Brook, Illinois.
- 3. Upon information and belief, defendant is a Chinese corporation with its principle place of business at No. 298, Jiangnan East Road, H Xiaogang, Beilun District, Ningbo, China.

Jurisdiction

4. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States. 35 U.S.C. § 1,

et seq. This court enjoys subject matter jurisdiction over this controversy pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.

COUNT I INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,048,990

- 5. On September 17, 1991, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 5,048,990, entitled "WRITING INSTRUMENT WITH DRYING-PREVENTING MECHANISM" (hereinafter, "the '990 patent"). A copy of the '990 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 6. Sanford is the sole and exclusive licensee of the '990 patent and enjoys the right to sue to recover past damages for infringement.
- 7. Upon information and belief, defendant is using, making, having made, selling and/or offering to sell products that infringe the '990 patent, including by selling and/or offering to sell products that are sold and/or offered for sale throughout the United States, including in this state and district.
- 8. Defendant's infringement of the '990 patent has damaged Sanford and, if continuing, will cause irreparable harm to Sanford unless enjoined by this Court.
- 9. On information and belief, defendant has been aware of the '990 patent since at least June 2007.
- 10. Under the circumstances, and on further information and belief, defendant's infringement of the '990 patent has been and continues to be willful.

WHEREFORE, Sanford prays that this honorable Court grant Sanford the following relief:

A. Declare that defendant has infringed U.S. Patent No. 5,048,990;

B. Preliminarily and permanently enjoin defendant, its officers, agents, servants and employees, and all those persons in active concert and participation with any of them, from infringing U.S. Patent No. 5,048,990;

C. Award Sanford money damages, including pre-judgment interest, sufficient to compensate Sanford for defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty;

D. Declare that defendant's infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,048,990 has been willful, and award Sanford three times actual damages;

E. If the facts demonstrate that this case is exceptional pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, order defendant to reimburse Sanford for its attorney's fees and costs reasonably incurred in prosecuting this action; and

F. Award Sanford such other and further relief as this Court deems just and reasonable under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffeld H. Dean

MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP

6300 Sears Tower

233 South Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357

(312) 474-6300 (telephone)

(312) 474-0448 (facsimile)

Counsel for Plaintiff SANFORD, L.P.

Dated: October 22, 2007





♠ AO 120 (Rev. 3/04)

TO:

Mail Stop 8

Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

roby adviced that a court action has been

I	E U.S. District CourtEastern Dist	trict of Texas, Tyler on the following Patents or Trademarks:
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED 10/23/2007	U.S. DISTRICT COURT Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division
PLAINTIFF Trent West		DEFENDANT Target Corporation Helzberg Diamond Shops, Inc. d/b/a Helzberg Diamonds
PATENT OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 7,076,972 B2	7/18/2006	Trent West
2 6,928,734 B1	8/16/2005	Trent West
3 6,990,736 B2	1/31/2006	Trent West
4 7,032,314 B2	4/25/2006	Trent West
5		
DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR TRADEMARK	INCLUDED BY DATE OF PATENT	Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
2		
5		
Ţ		ing decision has been rendered or judgement issued:
DECISION/JUDGEN	IEN I	
CLERK		(BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE



Document 2 Filed 10/22/2007 Page 1 of 1 Case 2:07-cv-00464-TJW AO 120 (Rev. 3/04) OCT 2 6 2007 REPORT ON THE Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office U.S. PATEN TO: OR DETERMINATION OF AN REGARDING A PATENT OR P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 **TRADEMARK** In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been Eastern District of Texas Patents or ☐ Trademarks: on the following filed in the U.S. District Court U.S. DISTRICT COURT Eastern District of Texas DATE FILED 10/22/2007 DOCKET NO. 2:07-cv-464 DEFENDANT **PLAINTIFF** SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC ENGINEERING SERVICES, PHOENIX IP, LLC LLC, ET AL. DATE OF PATENT PATENT OR HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK TRADEMARK NO 1 6,169,979 B1 1/2/2001 PHOENIX IP, LLC 2 6,577,962 B1 PHOENIX IP, LLC 6/10/2007 3 5 In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY ☐ Amendment ☐ Answer ☐ Cross Bill Other Pleading DATE OF PATENT PATENT OR HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK TRADEMARK NO OR TRADEMARK 1 2 3 4 5 In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE CLERK



•

4

SOLICITOR

OCT 2 6 2007

AO 120 (Rev.3/04)

110 120 (ICCV.5/04)				
TO:	Mail Stop 8	U.S. PATENT & TRA	DEMARK OFFICE	REPORT ON THE
Director of the	U.S. Patent and Trad	emark Office	FILING	G OR DETERMINATION OF AN
Alex	P.O. Box 1450 andria, VA 22313-14	50	ACTION REG	ARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court San Diego on the following Patents or Trademarks:					
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	DATE FILED		S. DISTRICT COURT	
07cv2038-WQH-RBB	10/19/2007	10/19/2007		Southern District of California	
PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT			
Greenlee Textron, Inc.		Heritage Tec	hnologies, Inc. Et al.		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK N		PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.		PATENT OR ADEMARK NO.	
1 See Attached 5, 15	7,336 6		11		
2	7		12		
3	8		13		
4	9		14		
5	10		15		
In	the above-entitled case, the	following patent(s)/trad	demark(s) have been inc	luded:	
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED B	П	Cross Bill	Other Pleading	
		7 1113 11 61		J Other Fleading	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK N		PATENT OR ADEMARK NO.		PATENT OR ADEMARK NO.	
		PATENT OR		PATENT OR	
TRADEMARK N	IO. TR.	PATENT OR	TR	PATENT OR	
TRADEMARK N	6 TR	PATENT OR	11	PATENT OR	
1 2	6 7	PATENT OR	11 12	PATENT OR	
TRADEMARK N 1 2 3	6 7 8	PATENT OR	11 12 13	PATENT OR	
1 2 3 4 5 5	7 8 9	PATENT OR ADEMARK NO.	11 12 13 14 15	PATENT OR ADEMARK NO.	
1 2 3 4 5 5	7 8 9 10	PATENT OR ADEMARK NO.	11 12 13 14 15	PATENT OR ADEMARK NO.	
1 2 3 4 5 In th	7 8 9 10	PATENT OR ADEMARK NO.	11 12 13 14 15	PATENT OR ADEMARK NO.	
1 2 3 4 5 In th	7 8 9 10	PATENT OR ADEMARK NO.	11 12 13 14 15	PATENT OR ADEMARK NO.	
1 2 3 4 5 In th	7 8 9 10	PATENT OR ADEMARK NO.	11 12 13 14 15	PATENT OR ADEMARK NO.	
1 2 3 4 5 In th	7 8 9 10	PATENT OR ADEMARK NO.	11 12 13 14 15	PATENT OR ADEMARK NO.	



SOLICITOR

OCT 29 2007

U.S. PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

AO 121 (6/90)		•	1746		
TO:					
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS (USPTO) P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		FILING O	REPORT ON THE FILING OF DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION OR APPEAL REGARDING A COPYRIGHT		
	of July 19, 1952 (66 Stat. 814; 3 iled on the following patent(s) is				
DOCKET	DATE FILED	UNITED S NORTHER	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS, EASTER		
07-cv-05993	10/23/2007	DIVISION			
PLAINTIFF Genender International Inc.		DEFENDANT Skagen Designs, LTD.			
PATENT NO.	DATE OF P.	'ATENT	PATENTEE		
US D515,947 S	2/28/2006		Skagen Designs, LTD.		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			<u> </u>		
	e, the following patent(s)	have been inclu	ıded:		
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY [] Amendment	[] Answer	[] Cross Bill [] Other Pleading		
PATENT NO.	DATE OF PATENT		PATENT		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
In the above-entitled case.	, the following decision has b	been rendered or j	judgment issued:		
DECISION/JUDGMENT					
CLERK	(BY) DEPUTY CH	ĘRK	DATE		
Michael W. Dobbins	Tiana Davis	as	10/24/2007		